Aggregate dredging and the Suffolk coastline

a regional perspective of marine sand and gravel off the Suffolk coast since the Ice Age
This pamphlet has been produced by the British Marine Aggregate Producers Association and The Crown Estate in response to perceptions that dredging off Suffolk may be contributing to impacts on the coastline. Modern aggregate extraction takes place well offshore and this report provides information to show there are no physical processes that link it to the natural erosion of the coastline that has been occurring since prehistory.

The UK marine aggregates industry is highly regulated, and coastal impacts are amongst a range of environmental issues that have to be thoroughly assessed before dredging is licensed. Dredging will only be permitted to take place in precisely defined licence areas if no significant environmental impacts are predicted. Once dredging is permitted, the environmental effects will be continually monitored and reviewed throughout the lifetime of any licence. To ensure that dredging activity only takes place where it has been licensed, all dredging vessels operating in UK waters are required to have a ‘black box’ electronic monitoring system that uses GPS positions to record their activities.

Whether undertaken for aggregates or for other purposes, dredging has the potential to result in changes to the physical processes which interact with the coastline – but only if it is allowed to take place in an inappropriate location such as in shallow water or too close to the shoreline. Such changes could be in the wave climate, tidal streams or interactions with sediment transport processes.

The most commonly cited example of this is at Hallsands in Devon where, following the dredging of beach sediments for use in constructing Devonport Naval Dockyard in the late 19th century, the village was tragically destroyed during storms in 1917. This remains the only example in the UK where aggregate dredging resulted in an impact on the coastline.

In contrast, modern marine aggregate extraction takes place much further offshore. This document explains the relationship between the offshore dredging areas and the coastline of Suffolk.

Information is presented on the evolution of the coast of Suffolk, the geological origins of the sand and gravel deposits that are being extracted and the influence of the modern day waves and tides on both these deposits and the coastline.
In 2013, a total of 739 km$^2$ of seabed was licensed for marine aggregate extraction around the UK, of which 98.67 km$^2$ was actually dredged. A total of 16.03 million tonnes of marine aggregate was extracted during 2013, of which 10.63 million tonnes was used for construction aggregate in England and Wales, 4.09 million tonnes was exported to the Continent for use as construction aggregate, and 1.31 million tonnes was used for beach replenishment and contract fill at locations across the UK.

Off the East Anglian coastline (Norfolk, Suffolk and Essex), 178.71 km$^2$ of seabed area was licensed for marine aggregate extraction. Within this, dredging actually took place in 32.42 km$^2$, producing 4.99 million tonnes of marine sand and gravel. Some 3.69 million tonnes of marine aggregate dredged from licensed areas in the Anglian region was landed at wharves in England for use as construction aggregate – mainly at sites along the Thames. A further 1.30 million tonnes was exported to the near Continent, also to be used as construction aggregate.

Marine aggregate is also commonly used to support beach nourishment schemes, providing benefits to communities, local economies and the environment. Since 1999, nearly four million tonnes of marine sand and gravel has been used to support schemes at Happisburgh, Southwold, Clacton and Southend.

The dredging process itself involves the dredger trailing a pipe along the seabed while moving slowly forwards (c.3-5 km/h). Powerful centrifugal electric pumps draw a mixture of sand, gravel and seawater through a draghead which rests on the seabed, up the dredge pipe and into the hold of the vessel. The sand and gravel settles into the base of the vessel’s hold, while the excess water is returned to the sea via overflow spillways. The dredging process typically results in a cut of sediment 0.3 m deep and 2 m wide being removed as the vessel uses GPS positions to navigate within the licence area.

A key misconception about the marine aggregate dredging process is that it results in large holes in the seabed. By using the total tonnage dredged over a given period, together with the area of seabed where dredging takes place, it is possible to calculate the average lowering of the seabed that has resulted. In the case of the licences off Norfolk, Suffolk and Essex, over the 15-year period between 1998 and 2012, 134.9 million tonnes (81.1 million m$^3$) was dredged from an area of 249 km$^2$. This equates to the seabed across the area dredged being lowered by an average of 0.33 m, although in reality the intensity of dredging activity will be more uneven.
Evolution of the Suffolk coast: from continental tundra to island margin

In the Ice Age, the area we now know as Suffolk was Arctic tundra crossed by rivers like the Yare, Blyth and Stour which deposited sand and gravel. As sea levels rose, this now valuable aggregate resource was submerged and separated from the new shoreline by sandbanks created from sediments from the still eroding coastline.

Twenty thousand years ago, Suffolk was part of a vast expanse of Arctic tundra extending from the edge of an ice sheet across to present day Holland and beyond. Rivers like the Yare and Orwell crossed this low-lying landscape and deposited sand and gravel over their floodplains. Mammoth and other Ice Age mammal bones, teeth and tusks are often found in dredged aggregates and in fishing nets from this now flooded area. When the climate warmed, the sea level rapidly rose and submerged the landscape, which became the bed of the southern North Sea. The coastline retreated as the sea rose, and cliffs made of older glacial sands formed, slowing but not stopping the advance of the sea.
Coastal erosion and the advance of the sea continues, and historical records show that villages and land have been lost since Roman and Mediaeval times, as the drawing shows. Dunwich is perhaps the best known Mediaeval settlement to be lost to the sea 100s of years ago, due to both erosion and siltation of a river mouth. This was a major harbour during the Middle Ages and remains of buildings can still be detected on the sea bed just offshore. The coast is prone to erosion due to the unstable sand-rich cliffs combined with easily scoured near-shore sediments. Indeed the sandbanks just off the coast of Great Yarmouth and Lowestoft are largely formed from sediment released by this coastal erosion. Aggregate dredging takes place offshore of these banks in one of the Ice Age river floodplains. Investigations and assessments of the effects of dredging demonstrate that it is not accelerating the natural process of coastal erosion in Suffolk.

Suffolk coastal evolution from Roman times in relation to Ice Age features and the present coast. The positions of villages lost to siltation and coastal erosion are also shown.

The present-day near-shore sandbanks have been supplied by, and grown from, sand lost from the coast by erosion over several thousand years. They are much younger than, and locally cover, the Ice Age river floodplain deposits.

All the dredging areas off Great Yarmouth and Lowestoft lie seaward of the nearshore banks and lie within the ancient floodplain of the River Yare, which is mainly sand and gravel. Flint handaxes have recently been found in dredged aggregate cargoes dating back over 250,000 years. The sands and gravels of the ancient floodplain are ‘relict’ or ‘fossil’ and are unrelated to the present coast or coastal erosion.

Coastal change references:
The sand and gravel dredged off Suffolk originated in the Ice Age, or Pleistocene, covering the last two million years or so of geological time. The diagrams below sum up the formation of the aggregate deposits in four stages, starting in the last glaciation in the region over 20,000 years ago.

A similar sequence of events has been repeated many times over the past two million years. The aggregate deposits were laid down in a river draining a cold tundra landscape that looked like parts of the modern-day Canadian or Siberian Arctic. River floods caused by snow and ground ice melting in the spring and summer each year for thousands of years brought down flint gravels and quartz sands, forming a wide braided river floodplain east of the present position of Great Yarmouth. Prehistoric flint hand axes made by our ancestors have recently been found in aggregate deposits in this area, dating back over 250,000 years when the North Sea was dry land, crossed by the ancestral River Yare.
The river floodplain was submerged by the rising sea at the end of the last Ice Age, becoming first an estuary and then fully marine by about 5,000 years ago. Marine geophysical and sampling surveys now show that the river’s sand and gravel have remained in-situ within the ancient floodplain. Locally, the gravelly deposits are buried by the younger inshore sandbanks. Dredging of the old floodplain deposits far from the coast has no effect on coastal erosion because these sands and gravels are not part of the present coastal or sandbank sediment system.

3: Around 7-5,000 years ago, the Yare valley is drowned downstream of the present coastline. The river’s sands and gravels are preserved on the seabed. Sandbanks form where sand is released from coastal erosion and where pre-existing glacial sands are reworked by the encroaching rising sea after the Ice Age.

4: Present day. Inner, nearshore banks are supplied by coastal erosion. Banks and coastline are not supplied by the now submerged, immobile and “fossil” Yare floodplain deposits which are now dredged for marine aggregate.
Near-shore sandbanks play an essential role in defending Suffolk’s coastline by absorbing wave energy. These features lie inshore of the dredging areas and remain unaffected by the shallow seabed depressions that are being created by sand and gravel extraction. There are no physical processes that link sediments along the coast, or in the near-shore, to the offshore seabed where dredging takes place.

The effects of wind, wave and tide have influenced the evolution of the Suffolk coast and the seabed features that lie offshore over many thousands of years. Today, these same processes continue to actively influence the transport and erosion of marine sediments.

Along the coast and in the shallow near-shore area immediately offshore, erosion and sediment transport are driven by the effects of wave energy, which are in turn influenced by the wind. Rather than moving sediment offshore, wave energy results in sediments being transported along the coastline through ‘long shore drift’.

Further offshore, the water depths are too great for wave energy to influence seabed sediment transport on a regular basis. Here, the processes are driven by tidal energy which results in seabed sediments being transported parallel to the coast. Evidence for these powerful processes can be seen in the orientation of the large sandbank features located off the coast and the troughs and deeps that separate them.

These sandbanks have an essential defence role by absorbing wave energy before it is able to reach the coastline. Sandbanks represent the nearest point of potential influence from dredging taking place offshore. If there is no effect on the sandbanks, there can be none on the coast.

While the processes that occur in and around the dredging areas are dominated by tides, the fossil sand and gravel resources being dredged remain unaffected. Modern sediments (mobile sand) will be transported across

Relationship between the Suffolk coast and the aggregate dredging areas:
The sand and gravel being extracted is not part of the modern sediment transport system or the coast.

Deep water channels separate the banks and separate the banks from the coast.

Tidal streams: Tide runs parallel to the coast so that there are no sediment movements between the coast and the dredging areas.

Dredging areas - seaward of nearshore banks and on the ancient river deposits.

Infilled river valleys and floodplains from the Ice Age, now submerged. These contain the aggregate resources.

Sea bed is commonly covered with ripples and sandwaves aligned with the tidal streams. Elsewhere, thin veneers of sand and gravel rest on bedrock.

20-30 metres seawater depth over dredging areas too deep for waves to affect sea bed regularly.

Not to scale.
Cross section from Orfordness to the dredging grounds off Suffolk in the outer Thames Estuary

Drawn from aggregate industry survey information and using water depths from published Admiralty charts

This section shows the relationship between the dredging areas and the coastline nearby, demonstrating that extraction of the ancient river deposits will have no effect on the sandbanks inshore or the coastline.

The aggregate deposits were formed when the outer Thames Estuary was dry land in the Ice Age and they are now immobile, ‘fossil’ and unrelated to the present marine or coastal environment. There is no possibility of either beach drawdown or any interference with coastal sediment supply from dredging these deposits.

The surface of the seabed as sand waves and ripples by tides, in a coast-parallel direction. However, the marine aggregate resources remain immobile and in-situ.

Over time, dredging results in shallow depressions being created as the underlying fossil sand and gravel resource is removed. Monitoring data shows the modern seabed sediment continuing to be transported by the tide. Rather than infilling these depressions, it continues to be transported across and through them. Similarly, adjacent sandbank features which lie inshore of the dredging areas remain unaffected by the shallow depressions created further offshore.

While sandbank features provide a buffer to the wave energy coming from offshore, they also provide a natural barrier to sediment moving from the coastline. There can be a misperception that there is a gentle slope between coast and the dredge areas further offshore, which allows sediment to be ‘drawn down’ into the dredged depressions.

Profiles of water depth from the coast out to the dredging areas clearly show a series of deeps and banks in between the two that beach sediments would have to ‘traverse’ in order to get to the dredge area. Modern sediment transport processes, driven by either wave or tide, run coast-parallel so there is no transport mechanism. Further evidence of this can be seen in monitoring data, which shows the dredged depressions are not being infilled.

In terms of modern sediments, there are no physical processes that link sediments along the coast or in the nearshore to the offshore area where dredging takes place. Furthermore, the fossil sediments being removed from the dredging areas do not form part of the modern sediment transport system, and are completely unrelated to the sediments present along the coast and the processes acting upon them.

The only way that the fossil sands and gravels being dredged will find their way to the coast is if they are deliberately placed there by beach replenishment.
Monitoring, assessment & regulation

Aggregate extraction is closely regulated to protect the environment. Expert studies are undertaken and extraction licences will be refused if there is any genuine concern about impacts on the coastline. Monitoring of the dredged seabed continues throughout the life of a licence – typically 15 years.

Marine aggregate dredging in English waters is regulated by the Marine Management Organisation through marine licences which are intended primarily to protect the environment. Before dredging can be licensed, dredging applications are subject to a rigorous assessment process which takes several years. Operators are responsible for commissioning detailed environmental impact studies, including coastal impact studies which consider the potential effects of the proposed dredging on waves and sediment mobility as well as coastal processes.

The outcomes of these studies are reviewed and scrutinised by government regulators and advisors as well as numerous other stakeholders, and if there is any remaining serious doubt over the potential for coastal impacts then dredging will not be permitted.

Licences for aggregate dredging always include a requirement for ongoing monitoring during the life of the licence (typically up to 15 years). Bathymetric monitoring surveys are used to record water depths across dredged areas. This enables the extent of the shallow depressions that result from the removal of fossil resources to be accurately measured. Bathymetric data provides regulators and their advisors with evidence, for example, that the dredged depressions do not infill with new sediment, and that the natural sediment transport processes are able to continue uninterrupted.

Example of a high resolution bathymetric chart used for monitoring in a North Sea dredging area located over 26 km east of Southwold. Image courtesy Lafarge Tarmac Marine and Cemex UK Marine
It has been suggested that UK marine aggregates are exported to Holland and Belgium because those Governments do not allow dredging in their own waters. The reality is that UK operators deliver construction aggregates to those countries as their continental shelves do not have deposits of coarse aggregate. Needs for fine and medium-grained sand for construction and beach replenishment are met in large quantities from local sources off Holland and Belgium.

Around 40 million tonnes of sand is dredged from licensed areas in Dutch waters each year, around double that dredged from all UK waters. A national environmental impact study undertaken by the Dutch government concluded that dredging in a depth of 20m or more on their continental shelf would not result in coastal impacts, subject to no more than 2m of sediment being removed. Consequently, operators are able to obtain a production licence to dredge in >20m of water by simply paying a licence fee, and without the need to undertake a site-specific impact assessment. This approach contrasts to regulation in English waters, where licence areas lie in water depths of between 10 – 50 m and detailed site specific assessments of dredging proposals have to be undertaken irrespective of the tonnage being dredged or the water depths involved.

For larger scale extraction requirements which require more than 2m of sediment to be removed from water depths greater than 20m, site-specific assessments are required before the activity is permitted. The Dutch authorities have recently permitted over 360 million tonnes of marine sand to be removed over a five-year period to support the extension of Rotterdam harbour. The dredging depths and volumes of sediment involved meant that a full Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) was necessary before dredging began. This scale of dredging represents over 70 times that dredged off East Anglia in a single year.

Another example of this is the “Sand Engine” project in the province of Zuid Holland. During 2011, around 30 million tonnes of marine sand was dredged from licence areas 10km off the Dutch coast to create a new hook-shaped peninsula. This will naturally erode over 20 years to maintain and enhance beach levels, which in turn will ensure that the communities, infrastructure and environment located inland are protected.

1Rotterdam harbour project: http://www.maasvlakte2.com/en/index/
Marine aggregates are an essential part of our daily lives, satisfying around 20% of all the sand and gravel needed for construction in England and Wales. At a time when rising sea levels pose a growing threat, marine sand is also vital to coastal protection.

Construction aggregates influence every facet of modern life – from the homes we live in and the transport infrastructure we use to get around, to the energy and fresh water that we take for granted. In order to maintain and develop the built environment in which we live, every person in Britain indirectly generates demand for four tonnes of aggregates every year – equivalent to around 250 million tonnes each year.

The majority of this need is met by material from recycled or secondary sources (25%), or sand, gravel and crushed rock quarried from the land. A proportion of the demand is, however, met by sand and gravel dredged from the sea. In England and Wales, marine aggregates represent around 20% of all the sand and gravel used in construction. In the south east of England, a third of all construction materials come from marine sources.

Marine dredged sand and gravel also have a strategic role in supplying large-scale coastal defence and beach replenishment projects – over 25 million tonnes being used for this purpose since the mid-1990s. With the growing threats posed by rises in sea levels and more frequent storms, the use of marine sand and gravel for coastal protection purposes will become increasingly important.

The commercial rights to marine aggregates in English waters are administered by The Crown Estate. Operators are required to pay a royalty for every tonne of sand and gravel they dredge. In the financial year 2013/14, marine aggregate extraction generated royalty revenue of £15.6 million, the surplus of which was passed to HM Treasury.

Marine aggregate facts

Marine aggregates are an essential part of our daily lives, satisfying around 20% of all the sand and gravel needed for construction in England and Wales. At a time when rising sea levels pose a growing threat, marine sand is also vital to coastal protection.

Construction aggregates influence every facet of modern life – from the homes we live in and the transport infrastructure we use to get around, to the energy and fresh water that we take for granted. In order to maintain and develop the built environment in which we live, every person in Britain indirectly generates demand for four tonnes of aggregates every year – equivalent to around 250 million tonnes each year.

The majority of this need is met by material from recycled or secondary sources (25%), or sand, gravel and crushed rock quarried from the land. A proportion of the demand is, however, met by sand and gravel dredged from the sea. In England and Wales, marine aggregates represent around 20% of all the sand and gravel used in construction. In the south east of England, a third of all construction materials come from marine sources.

Marine dredged sand and gravel also have a strategic role in supplying large-scale coastal defence and beach replenishment projects – over 25 million tonnes being used for this purpose since the mid-1990s. With the growing threats posed by rises in sea levels and more frequent storms, the use of marine sand and gravel for coast protection purposes will become increasingly important.

The commercial rights to marine aggregates in English waters are administered by The Crown Estate. Operators are required to pay a royalty for every tonne of sand and gravel they dredge. In the financial year 2013/14, marine aggregate extraction generated royalty revenue of £15.6 million, the surplus of which was passed to HM Treasury.
Aggregate dredging and the Suffolk coastline

a regional perspective of marine sand and gravel off the Suffolk coast since the Ice Age
Perceptions about erosion and dredging

This pamphlet has been produced by the British Marine Aggregate Producers Association and The Crown Estate in response to perceptions that dredging off Suffolk may be contributing to impacts on the coastline. Modern aggregate extraction takes place well offshore and this report provides information to show there are no physical processes that link it to the natural erosion of the coastline that has been occurring since prehistory.

The UK marine aggregates industry is highly regulated, and coastal impacts are amongst a range of environmental issues that have to be thoroughly assessed before dredging is licensed. Dredging will only be permitted to take place in precisely defined licence areas if no significant environmental impacts are predicted. Once dredging is permitted, the environmental effects will be continually monitored and reviewed throughout the lifetime of any licence. To ensure that dredging activity only takes place where it has been licensed, all dredging vessels operating in UK waters are required to have a ‘black box’ electronic monitoring system that uses GPS positions to record their activities.

Whether undertaken for aggregates or for other purposes, dredging has the potential to result in changes to the physical processes which interact with the coastline – but only if it is allowed to take place in an inappropriate location such as in shallow water or too close to the shoreline. Such changes could be in the wave climate, tidal streams or interactions with sediment transport processes.

The most commonly cited example of this is at Hallsands in Devon where, following the dredging of beach sediments for use in constructing Devonport Naval Dockyard in the late 19th century, the village was tragically destroyed during storms in 1917. This remains the only example in the UK where aggregate dredging resulted in an impact on the coastline.

In contrast, modern marine aggregate extraction takes place much further offshore. This document explains the relationship between the offshore dredging areas and the coastline of Suffolk.

Information is presented on the evolution of the coast of Suffolk, the geological origins of the sand and gravel deposits that are being extracted and the influence of the modern day waves and tides on both these deposits and the coastline.
In 2013, a total of 739 km² of seabed was licensed for marine aggregate extraction around the UK, of which 98.67 km² was actually dredged. A total of 16.03 million tonnes of marine aggregate was extracted during 2013, of which 10.63 million tonnes was used for construction aggregate in England and Wales, 4.09 million tonnes was exported to the Continent for use as construction aggregate, and 1.31 million tonnes was used for beach replenishment and contract fill at locations across the UK.

Off the East Anglian coastline (Norfolk, Suffolk and Essex), 178.71 km² of seabed area was licensed for marine aggregate extraction. Within this, dredging actually took place in 32.42 km², producing 4.99 million tonnes of marine sand and gravel. Some 3.69 million tonnes of marine aggregate dredged from licensed areas in the Anglian region was landed at wharves in England for use as construction aggregate – mainly at sites along the Thames. A further 1.30 million tonnes was exported to the near Continent, also to be used as construction aggregate.

Marine aggregate is also commonly used to support beach nourishment schemes, providing benefits to communities, local economies and the environment. Since 1999, nearly four million tonnes of marine sand and gravel has been used to support schemes at Happisburgh, Southwold, Clacton and Southend.

The dredging process itself involves the dredger trailing a pipe along the seabed while moving slowly forwards (c.3-5 km/h). Powerful centrifugal electric pumps draw a mixture of sand, gravel and seawater through a draghead which rests on the seabed, up the dredge pipe and into the hold of the vessel. The sand and gravel settles into the base of the vessel’s hold, while the excess water is returned to the sea via overflow spillways. The dredging process typically results in a cut of sediment 0.3 m deep and 2 m wide being removed as the vessel uses GPS positions to navigate within the licence area.

A key misconception about the marine aggregate dredging process is that it results in large holes in the seabed. By using the total tonnage dredged over a given period, together with the area of seabed where dredging takes place, it is possible to calculate the average lowering of the seabed that has resulted. In the case of the licences off Norfolk, Suffolk and Essex, over the 15-year period between 1998 and 2012, 134.9 million tonnes (81.1 million m³) was dredged from an area of 249 km². This equates to the seabed across the area dredged being lowered by an average of 0.33 m, although in reality the intensity of dredging activity will be more uneven.
In the Ice Age, the area we now know as Suffolk was Arctic tundra crossed by rivers like the Yare, Blyth and Stour which deposited sand and gravel. As sea levels rose, this now valuable aggregate resource was submerged and separated from the new shoreline by sandbanks created from sediments from the still eroding coastline.

Twenty thousand years ago, Suffolk was part of a vast expanse of Arctic tundra extending from the edge of an ice sheet across to present day Holland and beyond. Rivers like the Yare and Orwell crossed this low-lying landscape and deposited sand and gravel over their floodplains. Mammoth and other Ice Age mammal bones, teeth and tusks are often found in dredged aggregates and in fishing nets from this now flooded area. When the climate warmed, the sea level rapidly rose and submerged the landscape, which became the bed of the southern North Sea. The coastline retreated as the sea rose, and cliffs made of older glacial sands formed, slowing but not stopping the advance of the sea.
Coastal erosion and the advance of the sea continues, and historical records show that villages and land have been lost since Roman and Mediaeval times, as the drawing shows. Dunwich is perhaps the best known mediaeval settlement to be lost to the sea 100s of years ago, due to both erosion and siltation of a river mouth. This was a major harbour during the Middle Ages and remains of buildings can still be detected on the sea bed just offshore. The coast is prone to erosion due to the unstable sand-rich cliffs combined with easily scoured near-shore sediments. Indeed the sandbanks just off the coast of Great Yarmouth and Lowestoft are largely formed from sediment released by this coastal erosion. Aggregate dredging takes place offshore of these banks in one of the Ice Age river floodplains. Investigations and assessments of the effects of dredging demonstrate that it is not accelerating the natural process of coastal erosion in Suffolk.

Suffolk coastal evolution from Roman times in relation to Ice Age features and the present coast. The positions of villages lost to siltation and coastal erosion are also shown.
The sand and gravel dredged off Suffolk originated in the Ice Age, or Pleistocene, covering the last two million years or so of geological time. The diagrams below sum up the formation of the aggregate deposits in four stages, starting in the last glaciation in the region over 20,000 years ago.

A similar sequence of events has been repeated many times over the past two million years. The aggregate deposits were laid down in a river draining a cold tundra landscape that looked like parts of the modern-day Canadian or Siberian Arctic. River floods caused by snow and ground ice melting in the spring and summer each year for thousands of years brought down flint gravels and quartz sands, forming a wide braided river floodplain east of the present position of Great Yarmouth. Prehistoric flint hand axes made by our ancestors have recently been found in aggregate deposits in this area, dating back over 250,000 years when the North Sea was dry land, crossed by the ancestral River Yare.
The river floodplain was submerged by the rising sea at the end of the last Ice Age, becoming first an estuary and then fully marine by about 5,000 years ago. Marine geophysical and sampling surveys now show that the river’s sand and gravel have remained in-situ within the ancient floodplain. Locally, the gravelly deposits are buried by the younger inshore sandbanks. Dredging of the old floodplain deposits far from the coast has no effect on coastal erosion because these sands and gravels are not part of the present coastal or sandbank sediment system.

3: Around 7-5,000 years ago, the Yare valley is drowned downstream of the present coastline. The river’s sands and gravels are preserved on the seabed. Sandbanks form where sand is released from coastal erosion and where pre-existing glacial sands are reworked by the encroaching rising sea after the Ice Age.

4: Present day. Inner, nearshore banks are supplied by coastal erosion. Banks and coastline are not supplied by the now submerged, immobile and “fossil” Yare floodplain deposits which are now dredged for marine aggregate.
Near-shore sandbanks play an essential role in defending Suffolk’s coastline by absorbing wave energy. These features lie inshore of the dredging areas and remain unaffected by the shallow seabed depressions that are being created by sand and gravel extraction. There are no physical processes that link sediments along the coast, or in the near-shore, to the offshore seabed where dredging takes place.

The effects of wind, wave and tide have influenced the evolution of the Suffolk coast and the seabed features that lie offshore over many thousands of years. Today, these same processes continue to actively influence the transport and erosion of marine sediments.

Along the coast and in the shallow near-shore area immediately offshore, erosion and sediment transport are driven by the effects of wave energy, which are in turn influenced by the wind. Rather than moving sediment offshore, wave energy results in sediments being transported along the coastline through ‘long shore drift’.

Further offshore, the water depths are too great for wave energy to influence seabed sediment transport on a regular basis. Here, the processes are driven by tidal energy which results in seabed sediments being transported parallel to the coast. Evidence for these powerful processes can be seen in the orientation of the large sandbank features located off the coast and the troughs and deeps that separate them.

These sandbanks have an essential defence role by absorbing wave energy before it is able to reach the coastline. Sandbanks represent the nearest point of potential influence from dredging taking place offshore. If there is no effect on the sandbanks, there can be none on the coast.

While the processes that occur in and around the dredging areas are dominated by tides, the fossil sand and gravel resources being dredged remain unaffected. Modern sediments (mobile sand) will be transported across

Today’s marine processes:
North Sea waves, tides and sand banks
Cross section from Orfordness to the dredging grounds off Suffolk in the outer Thames Estuary

Drawn from aggregate industry survey information and using water depths from published Admiralty charts

This section shows the relationship between the dredging areas and the coastline nearby, demonstrating that extraction of the ancient river deposits will have no effect on the sandbanks inshore or the coastline.

The aggregate deposits were formed when the outer Thames Estuary was dry land in the Ice Age and they are now immobile, ‘fossil’ and unrelated to the present marine or coastal environment. There is no possibility of either beach drawdown or any interference with coastal sediment supply from dredging these deposits.

Profiles of water depth from the coast out to the dredging areas clearly show a series of deeps and banks in between the two that beach sediments would have to ‘traverse’ in order to get to the dredge area. Modern sediment transport processes, driven by either wave or tide, run coast-parallel so there is no transport mechanism. Further evidence of this can be seen in monitoring data, which shows the dredged depressions are not being infilled.

In terms of modern sediments, there are no physical processes that link sediments along the coast or in the nearshore to the offshore area where dredging takes place. Furthermore, the fossil sediments being removed from the dredging areas do not form part of the modern sediment transport system, and are completely unrelated to the sediments present along the coast and the processes acting upon them.

The only way that the fossil sands and gravels being dredged will find their way to the coast is if they are deliberately placed there by beach replenishment.
Aggregate extraction is closely regulated to protect the environment. Expert studies are undertaken and extraction licences will be refused if there is any genuine concern about impacts on the coastline. Monitoring of the dredged seabed continues throughout the life of a licence – typically 15 years.

Marine aggregate dredging in English waters is regulated by the Marine Management Organisation through marine licences which are intended primarily to protect the environment. Before dredging can be licensed, dredging applications are subject to a rigorous assessment process which takes several years. Operators are responsible for commissioning detailed environmental impact studies, including coastal impact studies which consider the potential effects of the proposed dredging on waves and sediment mobility as well as coastal processes.

The outcomes of these studies are reviewed and scrutinised by government regulators and advisors as well as numerous other stakeholders, and if there is any remaining serious doubt over the potential for coastal impacts then dredging will not be permitted.

Licences for aggregate dredging always include a requirement for ongoing monitoring during the life of the licence (typically up to 15 years). Bathymetric monitoring surveys are used to record water depths across dredged areas. This enables the extent of the shallow depressions that result from the removal of fossil resources to be accurately measured. Bathymetric data provides regulators and their advisors with evidence, for example, that the dredged depressions do not infill with new sediment, and that the natural sediment transport processes are able to continue uninterrupted.
It has been suggested that UK marine aggregates are exported to Holland and Belgium because those Governments do not allow dredging in their own waters. The reality is that UK operators deliver construction aggregates to those countries as their continental shelves do not have deposits of coarse aggregate. Needs for fine and medium-grained sand for construction and beach replenishment are met in large quantities from local sources off Holland and Belgium.

Around 40 million tonnes of sand is dredged from licensed areas in Dutch waters each year, around double that dredged from all UK waters. A national environmental impact study undertaken by the Dutch government concluded that dredging in a depth of 20m or more on their continental shelf would not result in coastal impacts, subject to no more than 2m of sediment being removed. Consequently, operators are able to obtain a production licence to dredge in >20m of water by simply paying a licence fee, and without the need to undertake a site-specific impact assessment. This approach contrasts to regulation in English waters, where licence areas lie in water depths of between 10 – 50 m and detailed site specific assessments of dredging proposals have to be undertaken irrespective of the tonnage being dredged or the water depths involved.

For larger scale extraction requirements which require more than 2m of sediment to be removed from water depths greater than 20m, site-specific assessments are required before the activity is permitted. The Dutch authorities have recently permitted over 360 million tonnes of marine sand to be removed over a five-year period to support the extension of Rotterdam harbour\(^1\). The dredging depths and volumes of sediment involved meant that a full Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) was necessary before dredging began. This scale of dredging represents over 70 times that dredged off East Anglia in a single year.

Another example of this is the “Sand Engine\(^2\)” project in the province of Zuid Holland. During 2011, around 30 million tonnes of marine sand was dredged from licence areas 10km off the Dutch coast to create a new hook-shaped peninsula. This will naturally erode over 20 years to maintain and enhance beach levels, which in turn will ensure that the communities, infrastructure and environment located inland are protected.

\(^1\)Rotterdam harbour project: http://www.maasvlakte2.com/en/index/
Marine aggregates are an essential part of our daily lives, satisfying around 20% of all the sand and gravel needed for construction in England and Wales. At a time when rising sea levels pose a growing threat, marine sand is also vital to coastal protection.

Construction aggregates influence every facet of modern life – from the homes we live in and the transport infrastructure we use to get around, to the energy and fresh water that we take for granted. In order to maintain and develop the built environment in which we live, every person in Britain indirectly generates demand for four tonnes of aggregates every year – equivalent to around 250 million tonnes each year.

The majority of this need is met by material from recycled or secondary sources (25%), or sand, gravel and crushed rock quarried from the land. A proportion of the demand is, however, met by sand and gravel dredged from the sea. In England and Wales, marine aggregates represent around 20% of all the sand and gravel used in construction. In the south east of England, a third of all construction materials come from marine sources.

Marine dredged sand and gravel also have a strategic role in supplying large-scale coastal defence and beach replenishment projects – over 25 million tonnes being used for this purpose since the mid-1990s. With the growing threats posed by rises in sea levels and more frequent storms, the use of marine sand and gravel for coast protection purposes will become increasingly important.

The commercial rights to marine aggregates in English waters are administered by The Crown Estate. Operators are required to pay a royalty for every tonne of sand and gravel they dredge. In the financial year 2013/14, marine aggregate extraction generated royalty revenue of £15.6 million, the surplus of which was passed to HM Treasury.
The case for and against Wangford Lime Kiln

The National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 116 states that planning permission for major development (which includes sand and gravel extraction) within an AONB should be refused except in exceptional circumstances where it can be demonstrated that it is in the Public Interest. It states that such proposals should be considered in the light of an assessment of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paragraph 116 conditions</th>
<th>SCC /Cemex &quot;exceptional reasons&quot; to justify the development</th>
<th>Our objection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the need for the development, including in terms of any national considerations, and the impact of permitting it, or refusing it, upon the local economy</td>
<td>the existing quarry at Wangford has been in operation for several decades and is an important part of the local economy</td>
<td>This is not an “exceptional” reason under 116. There is no material evidence to substantiate the claim of the quarry’s importance to the local economy. There are only four jobs at the existing quarry. Tourism is a much greater contributor to the local economy and would be damaged by this extension of quarrying in a key stretch of the “gateway” to Southwold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>there is a shortage of gravel in the market area served by this quarry and the proposed extension contains an unusually high percentage of gravel (60%) compared to most other quarries</td>
<td></td>
<td>Between Ipswich and Norwich there is other similar gravel. The case for local demand for the gravel has not been made. Wangford contributes only 8% of gravel to the Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the market area includes both Ipswich and Norwich and the gravel from Wangford is used to supplement the sand rich deposits from other quarries within the market area including those of rival companies</td>
<td></td>
<td>Norwigh is outside Suffolk and therefore not relevant to the Suffolk Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>processing is able to produce a regular spherical gravel grade product which can be used for specialist uses such as filter beds</td>
<td></td>
<td>There is no statement as to demand for this product within Suffolk or outside. We believe marine dredged gravel could produce similar material and this should have been investigated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the cost of, and scope for, developing elsewhere outside the designated area, or meeting the need for it in some other way;</td>
<td>there are no other acceptable proposed sites within the north-east area of Suffolk</td>
<td>The case has not been made for the need for a new site in North East Suffolk. Only 300,000 tonnes would be supplied to Suffolk over the next 10 years under the latest proposals and the possibility of supplying this much smaller quantum has not been properly addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alternative sources such as crushed rock, recycled aggregates and marine dredged sand and gravel are unable to</td>
<td></td>
<td>No supporting evidence re economic viability of marine dredged gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide a suitable alternative due to availability or economic viability</td>
<td>Any detrimental effect on the environment, the landscape and recreational opportunities, and the extent to which that could be moderated</td>
<td>It is considered that in the impact upon the wider AONB, recreation within the area, and the nearby residential properties and ecological designations could be moderated to an acceptable extent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note –
“Text clarifying how the proposed site meets the National policy has been added to the Plan” according to the SCC Cabinet note. These modifications are highlighted in red.