Minerals and Waste Local Plan Publication Stage Representation Form

Page 1: Suffolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan Publication Stage Representation

Q1. Please state if you are responding:
As an individual

Q2. Personal Details:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Mrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Esme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Murfitt (Received via post)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address Line 1</td>
<td>54 High Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address Line 2</td>
<td>Tuddenham St Mary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address Line 3 (if this is not required, please write N/A)</td>
<td>Bury St Edmunds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address Line 4 (if this is not required, please write N/A)</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Code</td>
<td>IP28 6SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Number (if you do not wish to provide this information, please write N/A)</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email address (If you do not wish to provide this information, please write N/A)</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q3. Agent's Details:
No Response

Q4. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

- Paragraph
- Policy
- Policies Map Cavenham

Q5. Do you consider the Local Plan is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Legally compliant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Sound</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q6. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Because it does not take into consideration the impact the expansion will have on residents in regard to increased traffic, noise & environmental damage. Already Tuddenham is overwhelmed by heavy traffic using the High Street as a cut-through from the A11 - A14 & in reverse.

Q7. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the Matter you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

A link road created between the trunk roads (i.e. A11 & A14) avoiding residential areas would relieve pollution & gives access to major roads for heavy traffic without affecting the quality of life for villagers. The speed, size & volume of traffic has long been an issue in Tuddenham. Properties on the main street and being damaged by vibration.

Q8. SCC Response

No Response

Q9. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Q10. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

No Response

Q11. Your details:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Esme Murfitt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>3 July 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

**Part A**

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent’s Details

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the, Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the Agent in 2.

**Title**

**Mrs**

**First name**

**Esme**

**Last Name**

**Murfitt**

**Job Title (where relevant)**

**Retired**

**Organisation (where relevant)**

**Resident**

**Address Line 1**

54 High Street

**Address Line 2**

Tuddenham St. Mary

**Address Line 3**

Bury St. Edmunds

**Address Line 4**

Suffolk

**Post Code**

IP28 6SA

**Telephone Number & Email Address (where relevant)**

[Redacted]
Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

caversham Policy
Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is:

4. (1) Legally Compliant

Yes ☐ ☑ No ☐

4. (2) Sound

Yes ☐ ☑ No ☑

4. (3) Complies with Duty to co-operate

Yes ☐ ☑ No ☐

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan in not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

Because it does not take into consideration the impact the expansion will have on residents in regard to increased traffic, noise and environmental harm. Already Tadworthham is overwhelmed by heavy traffic using the High Street as a cut-through from the A11 - A14 and in reverse.
6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the matter you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised working of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

A link road created between the trunk roads (i.e. A11 & A14) avoiding residential areas would relieve pollution & give access to major roads for heavy traffic without affecting the quality of life for villagers.

The speed, size & volume of traffic has long been an issue in Tuddenham. Properties on the main street are being damaged by vibration.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at the publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

X No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
8. If you wish to participate as the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

*Please note* that the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature: [ ] Date: [ ]

Please return to: Graham Gunby, Development Manager, Suffolk County Council, 8 Russell Road, Ipswich, Suffolk, IP1 2BX. All correspondence must be received by Monday 23rd July 2018 at 17:00, or the views expressed will not be able to be taken into account.

Notes to Accompany Representation Form

1. Introduction

1.1. The plan is published in order for representations to be made prior to submission. The representations will be considered alongside the published plan when submitted, which will be examined by a Planning Inspector. The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) (PCPA) states that the purpose of the examination is to consider whether the plan complies with the legal requirement, the duty to co-operate and is sound.

2. Legal Compliance and Duty to Co-operate

2.1. The Inspector will first check that the plan meets legal requirements under s20(5)(a) and the duty to co-operate under s20(5)(c) of the PCPA before moving on to test for soundness.

2.2. You should consider the following before making a representation on legal compliance:
• The plan in question should be included in the current Local Development Scheme (LDS) and the key stages should have been followed. The LDS is effectively a programme of work prepared by the LPA, setting out the Local Development Documents (LDDs) it proposes to produce. It will set out the key stages in production of any plans which the LPA proposes to bring forward for independent examination. If the plan is not in current LDS it should not have been published for representations. The LDS should be on the PLA’s website and available at its main offices.

• The process of community involvement for the plan in question should be in general accordance with the LPA’s Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) (where one exists). The SCI sets out the LPA’s strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of LDDs (including plans) and the consideration of planning applications.

• The Plan should comply with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (the regulations). On publication, the LPA must publish the documents prescribed in the Regulations, and make them available at its principle offices and on its website. The LPA must also notify the various persons and organisations set out in the Regulations and any persons who have requested to be notified.

• The LPA is required to provide a Sustainability Appraisal Report when it publishes a plan. This should identify the process by which the Sustainability Appraisal has been carried out, and the baseline information used to inform the process and the outcomes of that process. Sustainability Appraisal is a tool for appraising policies to ensure they reflect social, environmental, and economical factors.

• In London, the plan should be in general conformity with the London Plan (the Spatial Development Strategy).

2.3. You should consider the following before making a representation on compliance with the duty to co-operate.

• The duty to co-operate came into force on 15 November 20011 and any plan submitted for examination on or after this date will be examined for compliance. LPAs will be expected to provide evidence of how they have complied with any requirements arising from the duty.

• The PCPA establishes that non-compliance with the duty to co-operation cannot be rectified after the submission of the plan. Therefore, the Inspector has no power to recommend modifications in the regard. Where duty has not been complied with, the Inspector has no choice but to recommended non-adoption of the plan.

3. Soundness

3.1. Soundness is explained in paragraph 182 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The Inspector has to be satisfied that the plan is positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy:
• **Positively prepared:** This means that the plan should be prepared based on strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development.

• **Justified:** The plan should be the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence.

• **Effective:** The plan should be deliverable over its period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities.

• **Consistent with national policy:** The plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.

3.2. If you think the content of the plan is not sound because it does not include a policy where it should do, you should go through the following steps before making representations:

• Is the issue with which you are concerned already covered specifically by national planning policy (or the London Plan)? If so it does not need to be included?

• Is what you are concerned with covered by any other policies in the plan on which you are seeking to make representations or in any other plan?

• If the policy is not covered elsewhere, in what way is the plan unsound without the policy?

• If the plan is unsound without the policy, what should the policy say?

4. General Advice

4.1. If you wish to make a representation seeking a modification to a plan or part of a plan you should make clear in what way the plan or part of the plan is inadequate having regard to legal compliance, the duty to cooperate and the four requirements of soundness set out above. You should try to support your representation by evidence showing why the plan should be modified. It will be helpful if you also say precisely how you think the plan should be modified. Representations should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further submissions based on the original representation made at publication. After this stage, further submissions will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

4.2. Where there are groups who share a common view on how they wish to see a plan modified, it would be very helpful for that group to send a single representation which represents the view, rather than for a large number of individuals to send in separate representations which repeat the same points. In such cases the group should indicate how many people it is representing and how the representation has been authorised.