

Suffolk Minerals & Waste Local Plan Strategic Habitats Regulation Assessment Appendix 2 – Screening & Appropriate Assessment

OCTOBER 2018



The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017

Regulation 63 Assessment

Re: The Suffolk Minerals & Waste Local Plan

August 2018

Strategic Habitats Regulations Assessment

Appendix Two: HRA Screening & Assessment

The Suffolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Habitats Regulations Screening and Assessment

This Appendix reviews the various Policies within the Suffolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan and assesses whether or not such policies may result in a Likely Significant Effect upon the features of the relevant Natura 2000 sites.

There are three Tables set out below, the first of which (Table One) deals with the General Minerals and Waste Policies within the Plan; the second (Table Two) deals with the site-specific allocations and the third (Table Three) sets out various mitigation strategies that SCC's Minerals and Waste Team consider as industry standards and appropriate for Suffolk.

The majority of Policies are not considered to have a Likely Significant Effect upon the features of the various sites however there are certain policies which, if implemented in an unsympathetic or may.

In previous Habitats Regulations Assessments, it was considered sufficient to ensure that any site-specific plan or proposal for which suitable mitigation was considered, should undergo Appropriate Assessment at the planning application phase however, since the initial Assessment was submitted, the Court of Justice of the European Union Case C-323/17 <u>People Over Wind v Coillte Teoranta</u> has concluded that mitigation measures could not be considered as part of the project, and thus that the screening stage of the HRA should not take account of them.

The issue the Court considered is whether the mitigation measures proposed can genuinely be considered as part of the project, in that they would happen in any case, irrespective of the European site. If not, then they should be considered mitigation measures, and must considered at the Appropriate Assessment stage of the HRA.

The Appropriate Assessment exercise has been undertaken below in Table Two.

Policies:	<u>Details:</u>	Screening Comments:	Likely Significant Effect (Alone and In- combination) and any Further Actions:		
<u>General</u> Policies:					
Policy GP1: Presumption in favour of sustainable development	The County Council will take a positive approach to minerals and waste development that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development. It will work proactively with applicants to find solutions which mean that proposals can be approved wherever possible, and to secure minerals and waste development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area.	This Policy is not considered to have a Likely Significant Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites as it acknowledges, amongst other things, the requirement to contribute "to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environmenthelping to improve biodiversity, use	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.		

Table One - Screening:

	Planning applications that accord with the site allocations and policies in this Plan will be approved without delay, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Where there are no policies relevant to the application or the relevant policies are demonstrably out-of-date at the time of making the decision, the County Council will grant	natural resources prudently" Individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. This will ensure that any such allocations consider the Conservation Objectives of the relevant Designated	
	permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise – taking into account whether: Any adverse impacts of granting planning permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework and National	Sites and develop strategies that deliver the necessary avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement required in order to ensure that there are No Likely Significant Effects.	
	Planning Policy for Waste taken; or Specific policies in the National Planning Policy Framework or National Planning Policy for Waste indicate that development should be restricted.	Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	
Policy GP2: Climate change mitigation and adaptation	New minerals and waste management facilities should through their construction and operation minimise their potential contribution to climate change through reducing carbon and methane emissions, incorporate energy and water efficient design strategies and be adaptable to future climatic conditions. Proposals for new minerals and waste facilities should where appropriate: take account of landform, layout, building orientation, massing and	This Policy is not considered to have a Likely Significant Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites as it acknowledges, amongst other things, the requirement to "to take account of potential changes in climate including rising sea levels and coastal erosion" ¹	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

	landscaping to minimise energy	Individual site-specific	
	landscaping to minimise energy consumption, including maximising cooling and avoiding solar gain in the summer; be planned so as to minimise carbon dioxide and methane emissions, and support opportunities for decentralised and renewable or low-carbon energy supply; give priority to the use of sustainable drainage systems, paying attention to the potential contribution to be gained to water harvesting from impermeable surfaces and encourage layouts that accommodate waste water recycling;	Individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. This will ensure that any such allocations consider the Conservation Objectives of the relevant Designated Sites and develop strategies that deliver the necessary avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement required in order to ensure that there are No Likely Significant Effects.	
	take account of potential changes in climate including pluvial and fluvial flooding, rising sea levels and coastal erosion, and; incorporate proposals for sustainable travel including travel plans where appropriate.	Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	
Policy GP3: Spatial strategy	Preference will be given to proposals for minerals and waste development in accordance with the Key Diagram where individual sites are well related to the Suffolk Lorry Route Network (or rail network or navigation) major centres of population and do not have potentially significant adverse impacts upon features of environmental importance (natural or man-made) or endanger human health.	This Policy is not considered to have a Likely Significant Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites as it acknowledges, amongst other things, the requirement not to have "adverse impacts upon features of environmental importance (natural or man made)and take into account the views of statutory bodies includingNatural England" Individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

		specific HRA process. This will ensure that any such allocations consider	
		the Conservation	
		Objectives of the	
		relevant Designated	
		Sites and develop	
		strategies that deliver the	
		necessary avoidance,	
		mitigation, compensation and enhancement	
		required in order to	
		ensure that there are No	
		Likely Significant Effects.	
		Any developer must	
		provide SCC with	
		sufficient information to	
		enable SCC to complete	
		HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will	
		be submitted to Natural	
		England.	
Policy GP4:	Minerals and waste development	This Policy is not	No Likely Significant
General	will be acceptable so long as the	considered to have a	Effect alone or in
environmental	proposals, adequately access	Likely Significant Effect	combination with other
criteria	and address the potentially significant adverse impacts upon:	upon the relevant Designated Sites as it	proposals.
		requires developers to	
		address "potentially	
	pluvial, fluvial, tidal and	significant impacts"	
	groundwater flood risk;	upon, amongst other	
		things, biodiversity,	
	vehicle movements, access and	geodiversity, light	
	the wider highways network;	pollution and the local water environment.	
	landscape character, visual		
	impact, and protected		
	landscapes;	Individual site-specific	
	biodiversity;	allocations within The	
		SMWLP will be required	
	geodiversity;	to undergo a project-	
	historic environment, heritage	specific HRA process.	
	assets and their setting;	This will ensure that any	
	public rights of wow	such allocations consider the Conservation	
	public rights of way;	Objectives of the	
	neighbouring land-use;	relevant Designated	
		Sites and develop	
		ence and develop	

	 soil resources including the best and most versatile agricultural land; noise and vibration; air quality including dust and odour; light pollution; the local water environment; land instability; airfield safeguarding; the differential settlement of quarry backfilling; mud and aggregates on the road; litter, vermin and birds. (or the use of) alternative forms of transport including the use of rail freight shipping; Proposals should where applicable meet or exceed the appropriate national or local guidelines for each criterion, including reference to any hierarchy of importance, and also comply with other policies of the development plan. 	necessary avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement required in order to ensure that there are No Likely Significant Effects. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	
Minerals Policies:			
Policy MP1: Provision of land won for sand and gravel	The County Council will allocate sites for the extraction of sand and gravel sufficient to supply 9.300 Mt over the Plan period to the end of 2036. It will also seek to maintain a landbank of permitted reserves of at least 7 years based upon the average of the last ten years' sales and calculated in the annual Local Aggregates Assessment.	This Policy is considered that it may have a Likely Significant Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites. Site allocations have not been made at this stage but the general principles of mitigation will be considered in the Appropriate Assessment below. At the planning application stage, individual site-specific allocations within The	There is the potential for Likely Significant Effects alone or in combination with other proposals and this will be discussed in the Appropriate Assessment below.

		SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	
MP2: Proposed sites for sand and gravel extraction	The County Council will grant planning permission for sand and gravel extraction from within the following specific sites, as shown on the proposals map, subject to the other relevant policies of the Development Plan. Site M1 Barham Site M2 Barnham Site M2 Barnham Site M3 Belstead Site M4 Cavenham Site M4 Cavenham Site M5 Layham Site M6 Tattingstone Site M7 Wangford Site M8 Wetherden Site M9 Wherstead Site M10 Worlington	This Policy is considered that it may have a Likely Significant Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites. The general principles of mitigation will be considered in the Appropriate Assessment below. At the planning application stage, individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	There is the potential for Likely Significant Effects alone or in combination with other proposals and this will be discussed in the Appropriate Assessment below.
MP3: Borrow pits	Borrow pits to provide sand and gravel to serve major civil engineering projects will be acceptable as long as: they are within 10 km of the project site; the borrow pit is worked and reclaimed as part of the project; they comply with the general environmental criteria Policy GP4.	This Policy is not considered to have a Likely Significant Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites but individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

		enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	
MP4: Agricultural and public supply reservoirs	Proposals for the extraction of minerals (which would involve the removal of mineral off site) to enable the construction of a reservoir for agriculture, flood alleviation and/or public water supply will be permitted where there is a demonstrated need for the storage of water at the capacity proposed at the given location and subject to the proposals complying with the general environmental criteria Policy GP4.	This Policy is not considered to have a Likely Significant Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites but individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
MP5: Cumulative environmental impacts and phasing of workings	Where a proposed minerals site is considered acceptable (in its own right) but the cumulative impact of a proposal in conjunction with other existing, permitted or allocated minerals sites or other development in the proximity is considered unacceptable, the proposal may be considered acceptable if phased so that one site follows the completion of the other or it can be demonstrated that the adverse cumulative impacts can be adequately mitigated.	This Policy is not considered to have a Likely Significant Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites but individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
MP6: Progressive working and	Proposals for new mineral workings should be accompanied by a scheme for the progressive	This Policy is not considered to have a Likely Significant Effect upon the relevant	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

restoration	 working and restoration of the site throughout its life. Preference will be given to restoration proposals that incorporate a net gain for biodiversity with the creation and management of priority habitats and that support protected priority and Red Data Book Species and/or that conserve geological and geomorphological resources. Such habitats, species and resources should be appropriately and sustainably incorporated into restoration proposals focussed on flood alleviation, reservoirs, agriculture, forestry, amenity, or ecology. Providing links to surrounding habitats is also encouraged. 	Designated Sites but individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	
MP7: Aftercare	Where the proposed restoration is to an agriculture, forestry, amenity or ecology after-use following minerals extraction, an outline aftercare strategy of five years or more is required prior to the determination of the planning application. The outline strategy should set out the land management proposed to bring the restored land up to the required standard for the proposed after-use. The outline strategy should also allow for additional measures that may be required following the annual aftercare inspection and the subsequent submission of a finalised version of the annual aftercare report detailing the actions required.	This Policy is considered to have a Positive Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites as it requires preference to be given for proposals which "incorporate a net gain for biodiversity". Specific mention that "ecological interest can be incorporated into most schemes" supports this assertion. Individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will	No Likely <i>Negative</i> Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

		be submitted to Natural England.	
MP8: Concrete batching plants and asphalt plants	Proposals for concrete batching plants or asphalt plants at sand and gravel quarries must stipulate the proportion of indigenous sand and gravel that will be used in the production of ready mixed concrete or asphalt. At sand and gravel quarries, planning permission will be limited to the end date of the quarry planning permission or the when the indigenous material is no longer being used, whichever is the sooner. Any proposals for concrete batching plants or asphalt plants that are County matters must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites but individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
MP9: Safeguarding of port and rail facilities, and facilities for the manufacture of concrete and asphalt:	When proposals are made which would result in the loss of or might potentially compromise the use of: an existing, planned or potential rail head, wharf or associated storage, handling or processing facilities for the bulk transport by rail or sea of minerals, including recycled, secondary and marine- dredged materials, and/or; an existing, planned or potential site for concrete batching, the manufacture of coated materials, other concrete products or the handling, processing and distribution of substitute, recycled and secondary aggregate material; applicants will be required to demonstrate to the County Council that those sites no longer meet the needs of the aggregates industry. Where this is not the case, satisfactory	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites but individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

MP10: Minerals consultation and safeguarding areas	alternative handling facilities should be made available by the developer. Development proposals in close proximity to the above minerals related facilities should demonstrate that they would not prejudice or be prejudiced by those facilities. Any mitigation required falls on the development that receives planning permission last. District and Borough Councils should consult the County Council when a potentially conflicting proposal falls within the 250 metre safeguarding zones as defined in the Appendix 3 Safeguarding Maps. The County Council will then refer to Policies MP9 before providing a consultation response. The County Council will safeguard: those Minerals Safeguarding Areas located within the Minerals Consultation Areas identified on the Proposals Map from proposed development in excess of five hectares which is not in accordance with the Development Plan. The County Council will, when consulted by the Local Planning Authority, object to such development unless it can be shown that the sand and gravel present is not of economic value, or not practically or environmentally feasible to extract, or that the mineral will be worked before the development takes place; areas falling within 250m of an existing, planned or potential site allocated in the Plan for sand and gravel extraction. The MPA will advise the Local Planning Authority whether any proposed	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites but individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
	Ū.		

Waste	should such o prejuc workin Distric should Cound conflic the M as de Map. then r provic respo	develo diced ng. ct and d cons cil who cting p ineral fined The C refer to ding a	opmen by pro l Boro sult th en a p oropos s Safe on the County o Poli	nt itse ppose ugh C be Cou potent sal fal eguar e Prop y Cou cy MF	If mig d min Counc unty ially Is with ding <i>A</i> posals ncil w 210 be	ht be eral ils hin Area ill		
Policy WP1: Management of waste (Mt)	wast The antic annu for w man gran prov accc Hiera the I there cons othe LA C W C& I	Coun cipates ual lev hich a agem ted pl ided t ordance archy Develo e are n sidera rwise. 20 15/ 16 0.3 97 0.7 95 to 0.7 69	ty Cor s the f vels of appro- ent fa annin hey a ce with and t opmen tions v 20/ 20/ 21 0.4 15 0.8 57 to 0.6 97	uncil follow f wast priate g peri re in h the po nt Pla ner ma which 20 25/ 26 0.4 33 0.9 60 to 0.6 32	ing e aris wast s will mission Waste licies n and aterial indica 20 30/ 31 0.4 52 1.0 39 to 0.5 74	ings e be on, of ate 20 35/ 36 0.4 70 1.0 39 to 0.5 31	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the requirement to be within the Waste Hierarchy and the policies of the Development Plan. However, individual site- specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
	CD &E	0.5 17	0.4 69	0.4 34	0.3 86	0.3 50		

	HA Z	0.0 44	0.0 39	0.0 34	0.0 31	0.0 31		
Policy WP2: Proposed site for radioactive waste management	Z4439343131The County Council will grant planning permission for radioactive waste management on the following specific site, as shown on the proposals map, subject to the other relevant policies of the Development Plan.Site W1 Sizewell A Nuclear Power Station						Because of the location of the Sizewell A Nuclear Power Station within an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and the relatively close proximity to the Minsmere- Walberswick Ramsar Site, Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC and Minsmere-Walberswick SPA to the north and the Sandlings SPA to the south, Natural England have raised a concern that this proposal may result in a Likely Significant Effect upon these sites.	Potential for Likely Significant Effect. See Appropriate Assessment below.
Policy WP3: Existing or designated land-uses potentially suitable for waste development	facilit and v facilit within land i use (storat use c comp land a purpo devel (exclu	ral wa ies (of vaste ies) m ies) m ies) m n exis ge or lass) allocat oses ir opme uding n or ac orestry	her th water ay be ollowin ting w ent use ting g e clas distrib (exclu g); ted for a loc nt pla open s	an lai treatr acce ng are vaste e; enera s) or i ution ding o r B2 a cal pla n doc air co t to ag	ndfill s nent ptable as: I indu n exis use (I open a nd B8 n or umen mpos	sites strial sting B8 air } t ting);	 This will be discussed in the Appropriate Assessment below. This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policy GP 4 above. However, individual sitespecific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will 	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

Policy WP4: Household waste recycling centres	agricultural and forestry land (open air composting only); brownfield land (excluding open air composting); former airfields (open air composting only); waste water treatment facilities (composting and anaerobic digestion only); current and former mineral workings (open air composting and construction, demolition and excavation waste recycling only). Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4. Household waste recycling centres may be acceptable within purpose designed or suitably adapted facilities on land within the land uses identified within Policy WP3. Proposals for such facilities at landfill sites may be considered acceptable on a temporary basis whilst landfilling and restoration activity is taking place on site. Any temporary planning permissions will be linked to the time limits relating to the landfill activities on site. Where it can be demonstrated that no suitable sites consistent with Policy WP3 are available within the area to be served by the household waste recycling centre, household waste recycling centres may be acceptable on other sites provided these are consistent with Policy GP4 and are accessible to the public.	be submitted to Natural England. This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policy WP 3 above. However, individual site- specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
Open air composting	may be acceptable on land within	to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites	Effect alone or in

	the uses identified within Policy WP3. Proposals for such facilities at landfill sites may be considered acceptable on a temporary basis whilst landfilling and restoration is taking place on site. Proposals for open air composting will not be approved unless they are accompanied by a site-specific risk assessment which shows that the bio-aerosol levels can be maintained, throughout the life of the operations, at appropriate levels at dwellings or workspaces within 250m of a facility. Appropriate schemes for the management of odours and dust will also be required. Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.	because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policy WP 3 above. However, individual site- specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	combination with other proposals.
Policy WP6: In-vessel composting facilities	Enclosed composting facilities may be acceptable on land within the uses identified within Policy WP3. Proposals for such facilities at landfill sites may be considered acceptable on a temporary basis whilst landfilling and restoration is taking place on site. Proposals for enclosed composting will not be approved unless they are accompanied by a site-specific risk assessment which shows that the bio aerosol levels can be maintained at appropriate levels at dwelling or workspaces within 250m of a facility. Appropriate schemes for the management of odours and dust will also be required. Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policy WP 3 above. However, individual site- specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

Policy WP7: Anaerobic digestion	Anaerobic digestion facilities may be acceptable on land: within the uses identified within Policy WP3; or integrated with waste water treatment plants. Proposals for such facilities at landfill sites may be considered acceptable on a temporary basis whilst landfilling and restoration is taking place on site. Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policies GP 4 and WP 3 above. However, individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
Policy WP8: Proposals for recycling or transfer of inert and construction, demolition and excavation waste	Proposals for recycling or transfer of inert and construction, demolition and excavation waste will be acceptable on land within the uses identified within Policy WP3. At mineral sites, planning permission will be limited to the life of the mineral operation. Proposals for such facilities at landfill sites may be considered acceptable on a temporary basis whilst landfilling and restoration is taking place on site. On land suitable for General Industrial or Storage & Distribution uses, activities shall take place within purpose- designed facilities. Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policy WP 3 above. However, individual site- specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
Policy WP9: Waste	Waste transfer stations, material recycling facilities, end of life	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in

transfer stations, materialsvehicle facilities and waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery facilities may be acceptable within purpose designed or suitably adapted facilities and waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery facilitiesupon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policies GP 4 and WP 3 above. However, individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.combination with o proposals.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Proposals must also comply with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate	Juner
materials recycling facilities, end of life vehicle facilities and waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery facilitiesequipment recovery facilities may be acceptable within purpose designed or suitably adapted facilities on land within the uses identified within Policy WP3.because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policies GP 4 and WP 3 above. However, individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
recycling facilities, end of life vehicle facilities and waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery facilitiesbe acceptable within purpose designed or suitably adapted facilities on land within the uses identified within Policy WP3.sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policies GP 4 and WP 3 above. However, individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
facilities, end of life vehicle facilities and waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery facilitiesdesigned or suitably adapted facilities on land within the uses identified within Policy WP3.developed land and the requirement to comply with Policies GP 4 and WP 3 above. However, individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
of life vehicle facilities and waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery facilitiesfacilities on land within the uses identified within Policy WP3.requirement to comply with Policies GP 4 and WP 3 above. However, individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Proposals must also comply with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
facilities and waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery facilitiesidentified within Policy WP3.with Policies GP 4 and WP 3 above. However, individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery facilitiesProposals for such facilities at landfill sites may be considered acceptable on a temporary basis whilst landfilling and restoration is taking place on site.WP 3 above. However, individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
electrical and electronic equipment recovery facilitiesProposals for such facilities at landfill sites may be considered acceptable on a temporary basis whilst landfilling and restoration is taking place on site.individual site-specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
electronic equipment recovery facilitieslandfill sites may be considered acceptable on a temporary basis whilst landfilling and restoration is taking place on site.allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
equipment recovery facilitiesacceptable on a temporary basis whilst landfilling and restoration is taking place on site.SMWLP will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
recovery facilitieswhilst landfilling and restoration is taking place on site.Onwell will be required to undergo a project- specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
facilitiesis taking place on site.specific HRA process.Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4. HIY developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural	
Policy WP10: Residual waste treatment This Policy is considered No Likely Signification	nt
Residual facilities may be acceptable to have a Neutral Effect Effect alone or in	
waste where the proposed facility is: upon the relevant combination with c	other
treatment Designated Sites proposals.	proposals.
facilities on land within the land-uses set because of the proposed	
out in Policy WP3, and; sites being within already	
the proposale most the developed land and the	
the proposals meet the requirement to comply	
environmental criteria set out in Reliev CR4	
Policy GP4. WP 3 above. However,	
Proposals for such facilities at individual site-specific	
landfill sites may be considered allocations within The	
acceptable on a temporary basis SMWLP will be required	
whilst landfilling and restoration to undergo a project-	
is taking place on site. specific HRA process.	
is taking place on site.	
The treatment of waste that could Any developer must	
practicably be recycled or provide SCC with	
composted will not be sufficient information to	
acceptable. Conditions will be enable SCC to complete	
placed on planning permissions HRA/Appropriate	
to ensure that only residual Assessment which will	
source-separated or pre-sorted be submitted to Natural	
waste is treated. Facilities that England.	
burn waste must provide for the	
recovery of energy and the use	
of combined heat and power will	
be encouraged.	

Policy WP11:	Additional void space or areas of	This Policy is considered	No Likely Significant
Approval of	landraise for the deposit of inert	to have a Neutral Effect	Effect alone or in
sites for	waste may be acceptable where:	upon the relevant	combination with other
disposal of	waste may be acceptable where.	Designated Sites	proposals.
inert waste by	the importation of inert waste is	because of the proposed	proposais.
landfilling or	required for restoration of a	sites being within already	
landraise	former mineral extraction void or;	developed land and the	
lanuraise		requirement to comply	
	the importation of inert waste is	with Policy GP 4 above.	
	required for agricultural	However, individual site-	
	improvement;	specific allocations within	
	and there is no acceptable	The SMWLP will be	
	alternative form of waste	required to undergo a	
	management further up the	project-specific HRA	
	Waste Hierarchy that can be	process.	
	made available to meet the need,		
	and;	Any developer must	
		provide SCC with	
	The proposals comply with the	sufficient information to	
	environmental criteria set out in	enable SCC to complete	
	Policy GP4.	HRA/Appropriate	
		Assessment which will	
	The landfilling of inert waste that	be submitted to Natural	
	could practicably be recycled will	England.	
	not be acceptable. Conditions		
	will be placed on planning		
	permissions to ensure that only		
	pre-sorted wastes are landfilled.		
Policy WP12:	Additional void space or areas of	This Policy is considered	No Likely Significant
Disposal of	landraising for the deposit of non-	to have a Neutral Effect	Effect alone or in
non-	hazardous or hazardous waste	upon the relevant	combination with other
hazardous or	may be acceptable where:	Designated Sites	proposals.
hazardous		because of the proposed	
waste by	no alternative form of waste	sites being within already	
landfilling or	management can be made	developed land and the	
landraising.	available to meet the need, and;	requirement to comply	
	The proposals comply with the	with Policy GP 4 above.	
	environmental criteria set out in	However, individual site-	
	Policy GP4.	specific allocations within	
		The SMWLP will be	
	The landfilling of waste that could	required to undergo a	
	practicably be recycled,	project-specific HRA	
	composted or recovered will not	process.	
	be acceptable.	Any doveloper must	
	For non hozardava wasta	Any developer must provide SCC with	
	For non-hazardous waste	sufficient information to	
1		SUITUETI ITUTTALIUT LU	
	conditions will be placed on		
	planning permissions to ensure	enable SCC to complete	
	planning permissions to ensure that only residual source-	enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate	
	planning permissions to ensure that only residual source- separated or pre-sorted waste is	enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will	
	planning permissions to ensure that only residual source-	enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate	

	gas energy recovery will be		
	required.		
Policy WP13: Mining or excavation of landfill waste	The mining or excavation of landfill waste will be considered favourably where it is demonstrated clearly that: without mining or excavation of waste, the site is posing a significant risk to human health or safety, and/or; without mining or excavation of waste, the site is posing a significant risk to the environment or; removal is required to facilitate a major infrastructure project or; the proposals would result in the management of the excavated waste higher up the waste hierarchy and there would be significant local and global environmental benefits in doing so; and the proposals include detailed information upon how the types of waste deposited within the landfill are to be managed; and the proposals comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4. It must be demonstrated that any waste can be handled and if necessary removed from the site without posing additional significant risk to human health or safety, or to the environment.	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policy GP 4 above. However, individual site- specific allocations within The SMVVLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
Policy WP14: Waste water treatment facilities	New or extended waste water treatment facilities may be acceptable where such proposals aim to improve the quality of discharged water or reduce the environmental impact of operation. The developer will be required to demonstrate that the proposal can be located without	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policy GP 4 above.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

	giving rise to unacceptable environmental impacts. Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.	However, individual site- specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	
Policy WP15: Transfer, storage, processing & treatment of hazardous waste	Facilities for the transfer, storage, processing and treatment (including incineration) of hazardous waste will be acceptable on land: in existing general industrial use (B2), in storage and distribution use (B8) or identified for these uses in a development plan document or; integrated within an establishment producing much of the waste that will be dealt with. Facilities for the transfer and short-term storage of hazardous waste will also be acceptable on existing waste management sites identified as having potential for non-hazardous waste transfer where hazardous waste will only represent up to 5% of waste managed on site. Proposals must also comply with the environmental criteria set out in Policy GP4.	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land and the requirement to comply with Policy GP 4 above. However, individual site- specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.
Policy WP16: Treatment and storage of radioactive waste at Sizewell nuclear power stations	Planning permission for the treatment and/or interim storage of radioactive waste at Sizewell nuclear power stations may be granted within the licensed area subject to the applicant demonstrating that the proposed development:	Because of the location of the Sizewell Nuclear Power Stations within an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and the relatively close proximity to the Minsmere- Walberswick Ramsar	Potential for Likely Significant Effect. See Appropriate Assessment below.

		Oite Minore and t	1
	is consistent with national	Site, Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and	
	strategies for radioactive waste	Marshes SAC and	
	management;	Marsnes SAC and Minsmere-Walberswick	
	there are exceptional	SPA to the north and the	
	circumstances why the	Sandlings SPA to the	
	development is justified within	south, Natural England	
	the Suffolk Coasts & Heaths	have raised a concern	
	Area of Outstanding Natural	that this proposal may	
	Beauty;	result in a Likely	
		Significant Effect upon	
	includes adequate measures to	these sites.	
	mitigate adverse impacts on the		
	environment and local		
	community or, as a last resort,	-	
	proportionately compensate for	This will be discussed in	
	or offset such impacts;	the Appropriate	
	is supported by robust economic	Assessment below.	
	and environmental assessments;		
	utilises the existing rail link for		
	the transportation of the		
	radioactive waste unless it is		
	demonstrated to be economically		
	unviable, and;		
	the proposals comply with the		
	environmental criteria set out in		
	Policy GP4.		
D. I. MD 47			
Policy WP 17:Waste management facilities willDesign ofbe considered favourably where		This Policy is considered	No Likely Significant
-	-	to have a Neutral EffectEffect alone or iupon the relevantcombination witDesignated Sitesproposale	
waste	they incorporate:		proposals.
management facilitiesDesignated Sitesbecause of the propriate because of the propriate		•	
Idunities	density, massing, height and	because of the proposed sites being within already	
	materials;	developed land and the	
		requirement to comply	
	safe and convenient access for	with Policy GP 2 above.	
	all potential users;	However, individual site-	
	schemes for the retention of	specific allocations within	
	existing and provision of new	The SMWLP will be	
	landscape features;	required to undergo a	
	•	project-specific HRA	
	measures which will protect,	process.	
	preserve and where practicable		
	enhance the natural, historic	Any developer must	
	environment including the setting	provide SCC with	
	and built environment, and:	sufficient information to	
	comply with Policy GP2.	enable SCC to complete	
		HRA/Appropriate	
		Assessment which will	

		be submitted to Natural England.	
Policy WP18: Safeguarding of waste management sites	The County Council will seek to safeguard existing sites and sites proposed for waste management use as shown on the Proposals & Safeguarding Maps and will object to development proposals that would prevent or prejudice the use such sites for those purposes unless suitable alternative provision is made. Development proposals in close proximity to existing sites, should demonstrate that they would not prejudice or be prejudiced by a waste management facility. The safeguarding policy will also apply to any site where planning permission has already been granted. Any mitigation required falls on the development that receives planning permission last. District and Borough Councils should consult the County Council when a potentially conflicting proposal falls within the 250 or 400 metre safeguarding zones as defined in the Appendix 3 Safeguarding Maps. The County Council will then refer to Policies WP18 before providing a consultation response.	This Policy is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the relevant Designated Sites because of the proposed sites being within already developed land. However, individual site- specific allocations within The SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA process. Any developer must provide SCC with sufficient information to enable SCC to complete HRA/Appropriate Assessment which will be submitted to Natural England.	No Likely Significant Effect alone or in combination with other proposals.

Table Two – Discussion and Assessment:

	Proposed Site:	Effects:	Comments:
1.	Barham (see SW&MP, Page 43)	None likely to suffer any Significant Effects.	This Objective is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the Designated Sites as the proposed site is in excess of 10 kilometres from any Natura 2000 Site. However, individual developments within the SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA screening process and Appropriate Assessment where required. This will ensure that any development takes into account the Conservation Objectives of the Designated Sites. In addition, there may well be other ecological constraints which will need to be assessed and the necessary mitigation strategies agreed.
2.	Barnham (see SW&MP, Page 48)	Breckland SAC; Breckland SPA	 This Objective may result in a Likely Significant Effect upon the Designated Sites. Appropriate Assessment: Developments that take place within or close to the Breckland SAC and Breckland SPA have the potential to result in Likely Significant Effects upon the features of European Interest. The details of these species and habitats are set out elsewhere (Appendix One). The disturbance or damaging factors include: Disturbance from construction and extraction processes, that is the physical movements, noise etcetera, together with the visual disturbance and potential interference with the movement of biodiversity caused by the various works. Disturbance from the infrastructure associated with construction and extraction processes, that is the building of new roads, paths and any other highway (either public or private) together with the increased disturbance and pollution from the traffic using them. Disturbance from new street lighting, lights on, in and around new buildings, vehicle headlamps and all other artificial illumination. Disturbance consequent upon the operation of any new facilities. This may include such factors as vehicle movements, lighting, corvid and gull activity. Increased risk of pollution to streams, dykes and ditches as well as the main water courses themselves from construction processes and industrial, domestic and recreational use of any new development.

	Detential domesting offects upon the hydrology
	 Potential damaging effects upon the hydrology including quality and quantity of water flow into SACs and/or SPAs. This includes increased water use. Increased air pollution (from construction, extraction, use, commuting, recreational and occupation of the district) having a detrimental effect on sensitive species and habitats. Increased air pollution and pollutant deposition in the form of Nitrogen Oxide (NOx) from burning processes: these pollutants act as a fertiliser enabling competitive plant species such as some grass species to out-compete some of the more specialist, low-nutrient plant species on the areas of Heathland and Grassland (although these sorts of operations are not currently being considered within the SMWLP). Changes to air quality arising from fugitive dusts which may affect the functioning of plants. Changes to vegetation and soils arising from the deposition of fugitive dusts.
	However, these potential disturbance issues can be mitigated by the various mitigation methods set out in Table Three Below.
	These include mitigation by timings (particularly avoiding high disturbance factors during, e.g., Stone Curlew breeding season), paying attention to climatic conditions, restricting working times and practices in periods of high sensitivity and so on.
	Developments may be phased when working large deposits to provide for a rotation of existing habitat, working phases and restoration.
	Mitigation measures such as those set out in Table Three below have enabled minerals and waste developments to take place in sensitive areas. In many cases management arrangements have been agreed with nature conservation organisations which can result in a nett gain for biodiversity in the aftermath of the necessary works.
	For each specific site, the developers will need to undertake suitable survey work and prepare a detailed avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement strategy. Thereafter, such information should be submitted to SCC where it will be considered by the Ecology Team and, if required, submitted to the Proper Officer at Natural England for approval.

SW&MP, Page 60)Ramsar Site; Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPAupon the Designated Sites.Appropriate Assessment:Developments that take place within or close to the Stour & Orwell Ramsar Site and Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPA have the potential to result in Likely Significant Effects upon the features of European Interest. The details of these species and habitats are set out elsewhere (Appendix One).The disturbance or damaging factors include:Disturbance from construction and extraction processes, that is the physical movements, noise etcetera, together with the visual disturbance and potential interference with the various works.Disturbance from the infrastructure associated with construction and extraction processes, that is the building of new roads, paths and any other highway (either public or private) together with the increased disturbance and pollution from the traffic using them.Disturbance from new street lighting, lights on				This will ensure that any development takes into account the Conservation Objectives of the Designated Sites. It is anticipated that sensitive working methods (including the mitigation measures set out elsewhere) and post-extraction restoration will result in a nett gain for biodiversity in the future, despite the potential for a nett loss in the working phase. In addition to the above, there may well be other ecological constraints (such as obtaining NE's Assent for a Potentially Damaging Operation, European Protected Species Licenses and so on) which will need to be assessed and the necessary avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement strategies agreed. In conclusion, although there is the possibility of a short-term nett loss of habitat and increased disturbance factors, the long-term position is that there
 Developments that take place within or close to the Stour & Orwell Ramsar Site and Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPA have the potential to result in Likely Significant Effects upon the features of European Interest. The details of these species and habitats are set out elsewhere (Appendix One). The disturbance or damaging factors include: Disturbance from construction and extraction processes, that is the physical movements, noise etcetera, together with the visual disturbance and potential interference with the movement of biodiversity caused by the various works. Disturbance from the infrastructure associated with construction and extraction processes, that is the building of new roads, paths and any other highway (either public or private) together with the increased disturbance and pollution from the traffic using them. Disturbance from new street lighting, lights on 	3.	SW&MP, Page	Ramsar Site;	sensitive species. This Objective may result in a Likely Significant Effect upon the Designated Sites.
headlamps and all other artificial illumination.			Estuaries SPA	 Developments that take place within or close to the Stour & Orwell Ramsar Site and Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPA have the potential to result in Likely Significant Effects upon the features of European Interest. The details of these species and habitats are set out elsewhere (Appendix One). The disturbance or damaging factors include: Disturbance from construction and extraction processes, that is the physical movements, noise etcetera, together with the visual disturbance and potential interference with the movement of biodiversity caused by the various works. Disturbance from the infrastructure associated with construction and extraction processes, that is the building of new roads, paths and any other highway (either public or private) together with the increased disturbance and pollution from the traffic using them. Disturbance from new street lighting, lights on, in and around new buildings, vehicle headlamps and all other artificial illumination.

 Increased risk of pollution to streams, dy and ditches as well as the main water conthemselves from construction processes industrial, domestic and recreational use any new development. Potential damaging effects upon the hyd including quality and quantity of water flos SACs and/or SPAs. This includes increate water use. Increased air pollution (from construction extraction, use, commuting, recreational occupation of the district) having a detrim effect on sensitive species and habitats. Increased air pollution and pollutant depin the form of Nitrogen Oxide (NOx) from burning processes: these pollutants act a fertiliser enabling competitive plant species such as some grass species to out-comp some of the more specialist, low-nutrient species on the areas of Heathland and Grassland (although these sorts of opera are not currently being considered within SMWLP). Increased air pollution and pollutant depin the form of Acidification from burning processes: the acid soils of Heathland and Dry-Acid Grassland are unable to neutra excess acids which can lead to plant dart through impaired photosynthesis and the leaching of essential nutrients. Lichens a particularly sensitive to pH changes (alth these sorts of operations are not currently being considered within the superific effects of pollutant depositio the composition and integrity of Mudflats including Estuarine Invertebrates. Changes to air quality arising from fugitiv dusts which may affect the functioning of plants. 	ourses and of rology ow into sed and nental osition as a ies pete plant ations the osition nd lise mage are lough ly on on
	f
However, these potential disturbance issues car mitigated by the various mitigation methods set Table Three Below.	
These include mitigation by timings, paying attent to climatic conditions, restricting working times a practices in periods of high sensitivity and so on	and
Developments may be phased when working lar deposits to provide for a rotation of existing habi working phases and restoration.	-
Mitigation measures such as those set out in Ta Three below have enabled minerals and waste developments to take place in sensitive areas. In many cases management arrangements have b	n

			agreed with nature conservation organisations which can result in a nett gain for biodiversity in the aftermath of the necessary works. For each specific site, the developers will need to undertake suitable survey work and prepare a detailed avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement strategy. Thereafter, such information should be submitted to SCC where it will be considered by the Ecology Team and, if required, submitted to the Proper Officer at Natural England for approval. This will ensure that any development takes into account the Conservation Objectives of the Designated Sites. It is anticipated that sensitive working methods (including the mitigation measures set out elsewhere) and post-extraction restoration will
			result in a nett gain for biodiversity in the future, despite the potential for a nett loss in the working phase. In addition to the above, there may well be other ecological constraints (such as obtaining NE's Assent for a Potentially Damaging Operation, European Protected Species Licenses and so on) which will need to be assessed and the necessary avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement strategies agreed. In conclusion, although there is the possibility of a
			short-term nett loss of habitat and increased disturbance factors, the long-term position is that there could be a nett gain in habitat available for the sensitive species.
4.	Cavenham (see SW&MP, Page 64)	Breckland SAC; Breckland SPA	This Objective may result a Likely Significant Effect upon the Designated Sites. Please see the comments to <u>(2) Barnham</u> above.
5.	<u>Layham</u> (see SW&MP, Page 69)	None likely to suffer any Significant Effects.	This Objective is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the Designated Sites as the proposed site is in excess of 10 kilometres from any Natura 2000 Site. However, individual developments within the SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA screening process and Appropriate Assessment where required. This will ensure that any development takes into account the Conservation Objectives of the Designated Sites.

6.	Tattingstone (see SW&MP, Page 73)	Stour & Orwell Ramsar Site; Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPA	In addition, there may well be other ecological constraints which will need to be assessed and the necessary mitigation strategies agreed. This Objective may result in a Likely Significant Effect upon the Designated Sites. Please see the comments to <u>(3) Belstead</u> above.
7.	Wangford (see SW&MP, Page 77)	Benacre to Easton Bavents Lagoons SAC; Benacre to Easton Bavents SPA; Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths & Marshes SAC; Minsmere- Walberswick Ramsar Site; Minsmere- Walberswick SPA;	 This Objective may result in a Likely Significant Effect upon the Designated Sites. Appropriate Assessment: Developments that take place within or close to the Benacre to Easton Bavents Lagoons SAC; Benacre to Easton Bavents SPA, Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths & Marshes SAC and Minsmere-Walberswick Ramsar Site; Minsmere-Walberswick SPA have the potential to result in Likely Significant Effects upon the features of European Interest. The details of these species and habitats are set out elsewhere (Appendix One). The disturbance or damaging factors include: Disturbance from construction and extraction processes, that is the physical movements, noise etcetera, together with the visual disturbance and potential interference with the movement of biodiversity caused by the various works. Disturbance from the infrastructure associated with construction and extraction processes, that is the building of new roads, paths and any other highway (either public or private) together with the increased disturbance and pollution from the traffic using them. Disturbance consequent upon the operation of any new facilities. This may include such factors as vehicle movements, lighting, corvid and guil activity. Increased risk of pollution to streams, dykes and ditches as well as the main water courses themselves from construction processes and industrial, domestic and recreational use of any new development. Potential damaging effects upon the hydrology including quality and quantity of water flow into SACs and/or SPAs. This includes increased water use.

	 Increased air pollution (from construction, extraction, use, commuting, recreational and occupation of the district) having a detrimental effect on sensitive species and habitats. Increased air pollution and pollutant deposition in the form of Nitrogen Oxide (NOx) from burning processes: these pollutants act as a fertiliser enabling competitive plant species such as some grass species to out-compete some of the more specialist, low-nutrient plant species on the areas of Heathland and Grassland (although these sorts of operations are not currently being considered within the SMWLP). Increased air pollution and pollutant deposition in the form of Acidification from burning processes: the acid soils of Heathland and Dry-Acid Grassland are unable to neutralise excess acids which can lead to plant damage through impaired photosynthesis and the leaching of essential nutrients. Lichens are particularly sensitive to pH changes (although these sorts of operations are not currently being considered within the SMWLP). The specific effects of pollutant deposition on the composition and integrity of Mudflats including Estuarine Invertebrates. Changes to vegetation and soils arising from the deposition of fugitive dusts.
	However, these potential disturbance issues can be mitigated by the various mitigation methods set out in Table Three Below.
	These include mitigation by timings, paying attention to climatic conditions, restricting working times and practices in periods of high sensitivity and so on.
	Developments may be phased when working large deposits to provide for a rotation of existing habitat, working phases and restoration.
	Mitigation measures such as those set out in Table Three below have enabled minerals and waste developments to take place in sensitive areas. In many cases management arrangements have been agreed with nature conservation organisations which can result in a nett gain for biodiversity in the aftermath of the necessary works.
	For each specific site, the developers will need to undertake suitable survey work and prepare a detailed avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement strategy. Thereafter, such information

8.	Wetherden (see SW&MP, Page 86)	None likely to suffer any Significant Effects.	should be submitted to SCC where it will be considered by the Ecology Team and, if required, submitted to the Proper Officer at Natural England for approval. This will ensure that any development takes into account the Conservation Objectives of the Designated Sites. It is anticipated that sensitive working methods (including the mitigation measures set out elsewhere) and post-extraction restoration will result in a nett gain for biodiversity in the future, despite the potential for a nett loss in the working phase. In addition to the above, there may well be other ecological constraints (such as obtaining NE's Assent for a Potentially Damaging Operation, European Protected Species Licenses and so on) which will need to be assessed and the necessary avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement strategies agreed. In conclusion, although there is the possibility of a short-term nett loss of habitat and increased disturbance factors, the long-term position is that there could be a nett gain in habitat available for the sensitive species. This Objective is considered to have a Neutral Effect upon the Designated Sites as the proposed site is in excess of 10 kilometres from any Natura 2000 Site. However, individual developments within the SMWLP will be required to undergo a project-specific HRA screening process and Appropriate Assessment where required. This will ensure that any development takes into account the Conservation Objectives of the Designated Sites. In addition, there may well be other ecological constraints which will need to be assessed and the necessary mitigation strategies agreed.
9.	<u>Wherstead</u> (see SW&MP, Page	Stour & Orwell Ramsar Site;	This Objective may result in a Likely Significant Effect upon the Designated Sites.
	90)	Stour & Orwell Estuaries SPA	Please see the comments to (3) Belstead above.
10.	<u>Worlington</u> (see SW&MP, Page 94)	Breckland SAC; Breckland SPA	This Objective may result a Likely Significant Effect upon the Designated Sites. Please see the comments to (2) Barnham above.

11. <u>Sizewell "A"</u> Nuclear Power	Minsmere to Walberswick	This Objective may result in a Likely Significant Effect upon the Designated Sites.
<u>Station</u> (see SW&MP, Page	Heaths & Marshes SAC;	Appropriate Assessment:
98)	Minsmere- Walberswick Ramsar Site; Minsmere- Walberswick SPA; Outer Thames Estuary SPA;	Developments that take place within or close to the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths & Marshes SAC, Minsmere-Walberswick Ramsar Site; Minsmere- Walberswick SPA, Outer Thames Estuary SPA, Sandlings SPA and Southern North Sea cSAC have the potential to result in Likely Significant Effects upon the features of European Interest. The details of these species and habitats are set out elsewhere (Appendix One).
		The disturbance or damaging factors include:
	Sandlings SPA;	The disturbance of damaging factors include.
	Southern North Sea cSAC	 Disturbance from construction and extraction processes, that is the physical movements, noise etcetera, together with the visual disturbance and potential interference with the movement of biodiversity caused by the various works. Disturbance from the infrastructure associated with construction and extraction processes, that is the building of new roads, paths and any other highway (either public or private) together with the increased disturbance and pollution from the traffic using them. Disturbance from new street lighting, lights on, in and around new buildings, vehicle headlamps and all other artificial illumination. Disturbance consequent upon the operation of any new facilities. This may include such factors as vehicle movements, lighting, corvid and gull activity. Increased risk of pollution to streams, dykes and ditches as well as the main water courses themselves from construction processes and industrial, domestic and recreational use of any new development. Potential damaging effects upon the hydrology including quality and quantity of water flow into SACs and/or SPAs. This includes increased water use. Increased air pollution (from construction, extraction, use, commuting, recreational and occupation of the district) having a detrimental effect on sensitive species and habitats. The specific effects of pollutant deposition on the composition and integrity of Mudflats including Estuarine Invertebrates. Changes to vegetation and soils arising from

	However, these potential disturbance issues can be mitigated by the various mitigation methods set out in Table Three Below. These include mitigation by timings, paying attention to climatic conditions, restricting working times and practices in periods of high sensitivity and so on.
	Developments may be phased when working large deposits to provide for a rotation of existing habitat, working phases and restoration.
	The amounts of waster being considered for this site are very modest, being considered at a couple of hundred tons over a period of years. This waste will be processed within existing buildings (on a large site) but it is possible that additional storage and processing facilities may be required but these will be within the existing curtilage.
	For this Nuclear site, the developers will need to undertake suitable survey work and prepare a detailed avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement strategy. Thereafter, such information should be submitted to SCC where it will be considered by the Ecology Team and, if required, submitted to the Proper Officer at Natural England for approval.
	This will ensure that any development takes into account the Conservation Objectives of the Designated Sites. It is anticipated that sensitive working methods (including the mitigation measures set out elsewhere) will not result in any nett loss for biodiversity in the future.
	In addition to the above, there may well be other ecological constraints (such as obtaining NE's Assent for any Potentially Damaging Operation, European Protected Species Licenses and so on) which will need to be assessed and the necessary avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement strategies agreed.
	In conclusion, although there is only a negligible possibility of a short-term nett loss of habitat and increased disturbance factors.

Mitigation methods (some methods are shared between different types of development as				
appropriate)				
Type of	Activity	Potential impacts	Mitigation methods	
development				
Sand and	Soil stripping	Dust	 Avoiding windy weather 	
gravel extraction	Soil storage	Dust	Grass seeding	
and		Weeds	Spraying	
restoration			 Mowing 	
including			Weeding	
infilling with			Grass seeding	
inert waste	Extraction	Loss of agricultural	Phased working and restoration	
materials		etc land		
		Noise	Buffer zones	
			Earth bunds	
			Effective silencers	
			Well maintained machinery	
			Restricted working hours	
		Dust	Water bowsers on haul routes	
			Restricted vehicles speeds	
			Minimising material drop heights	
		Dewatering	Monitoring boreholes with	
			piezometres	
			Wet working	
			Suction dredger working	
	Processing	Noise	Restricted working hours	
			Buffer zones	
			Earth bunds	
			Effective silencers	
			Well maintained machinery	
		Dust	Wet process	
			Water bowsers	
			Water sprays	
		Lights	Restricted working hours	
			Hooded and directed lighting	
		Silt washings	Collect in silt lagoon or silt plant	
			Incorporate in site restoration	

		Waste sand	Incorporate in site restoration
		Stockpiles	Restrict height
	HGV traffic	Noise	Restricted working hours
		Emissions	Use of latest specification lorries
		Congestion	Lorry management plans
		Load spillage	Sheeting of loads
		Mud on road	Surfaced haul route
			Wheel cleaning facilities
	Restoration	Noise	Road sweeper
	Restoration	Noise	Effective silencers
			Well maintained machinery
		Dust	Restricted working hours
		Dusi	Water bowsers on haul routes
			Restricted vehicles speeds
			Minimising material drop heights
		Infilling with inert waste materials	 Reject inappropriate waste materials
	Aftercare	Poor drainage	Deep ploughing
			Field drains
		Poor soil structure	Cultivation
		Fertility too low	Apply fertilizer
		Fertility too high	Mow and remove cuttings
		Planting failures	Replacement planting
		Leaking ponds	Reline ponds
Waste	Household	Hazardous waste	Bespoke containers etc.
Development	waste	handling	
	recycling		
	centres		
	Open air	Bioaerosols	Buffer zones
	composting	Fires	Turning windrows regularly
		Odour	Turning windrows regularly

	Leachate	Sealed drainage
In-vessel	Bioaerosols	Biofilters
composting		
	Odours	Biofilters
	Leachate	Sealed drainage
	Fires	Forced air control & water sprays
	Noise	Electrical inverter fans
Anaerobic	Odour	Feed stock management
Digestion	Noise	Insulated generator housing
	Leachate	Sealed drainage
Aggregates	Noise	Rubber lined machinery
recycling		White noise reversing warnings
	Dust	Water sprays
		Surfaced yards
Waste	Noise	Buffer zones
Transfer,		Bunds
Materials		Buildings
Recycling,	Leachate	Sealed Drainage
End of Life		
Vehicle		
Facilities,		
Waste		
Electrical		
Equipment		
Recovery		
Facilities		
Residual	Odour	Negative air pressure within building
Waste		Fast acting doors
Treatment		High temperature combustion

Facility (Energy from waste) Inert landfilli	Noise	 High temperature combustion to destroy dioxins Addition of activated carbon to prevent reformation of dioxins Filter bags to remove particulates Sophisticated plant control Enclosed within buildings Reject inappropriate materials
Landfilling with non- hazardous o hazardous	Odour r Leachate	 Daily covering of waste with soils Perfumed sprays Impermeable site liners
waste	Landfill gas	 Leachate treatment plants Impermeable site liners Landfill gas abstraction systems
	Vermin	Daily covering of waste with soilsTraps
	Birds	 Daily covering of waste with soils Hawks Kites Acoustic air guns
	Flies	Daily covering of waste with soilsInsecticides
	Hazardous waste	Discrete cells
Landfill mini	ng As for landfilling	As for landfilling
Hazardous waste handling	Hazardous waste handling	Bespoke containers etc.
Treatment & Storage of Radioactive Waste	Radiation	As advised by the National Radiological Protection Board

